

Lady: Gleason Archer was certainly a very strong conservative force.

AAM: The story they tell me about Gleason Archer at Trinity is that ~~WZYX~~ all his emphasis on technical points he puts

Lady: Well, he's a legalist.

AAM: Yes, but they say he doesn't take opportunity to bring out the spiritual lessons which he doubtless thoroughly believes in.

Lady: I think that's personality. He was a very strange person. Many students were kind of fearful of him. Very aloof. He held himself so very much apart. He remarried just before he left Fuller, a much younger woman. He seemed to off and become warmer at that time. Whether it lasted or not I don't know.

AAM: His wife had died?

Lady: Yes, she had. We sort of felt that we saw the human side of Archer for the first time through her. He was a very pleasant fellow. I never had a class from him but he always smiled and spoke to me. Pleasant, not impolite or completely withdrawn or anything like that but a little bit of the human factor seemed to be lacking somehow. I guess one of the strangest personalities has been Jewett. He was on sabbatical the year I was there and I never bumped into him. In fact Dr. Booth and Dr. Ladd I had OT History from LaSor which was no way to know him either because he loathed(?) the teaching course. So he made it just as difficult, and tyrannical as he could as a protest I never appreciated his personality consequently.

Dr. Ladd was the one whom I admired the most as a scholar. Dr. Booth was always warm hearted. I always thought he was the perfect person to teach evangelism because he was such a warm teddy-bear kind of person.

AAM: I never heard of him.

Lady: Doctrinal issues didn't enter into what he was teaching so it never occurred to me to question where he stood on anything of that sort. Bromiley I had church history from.

AAM: What was he like?

Lady: Very reserved, conservative Englishman. Not being given to being known personally either. In Church History I would have had no reason to question where he stood doctrinally.

AAM: But he didn't make it interesting?

Lady: I didn't take the whole course. No, I wouldn't say he didn't make it interesting. But he translator in this country.

AAM: He's translated that whole theological dictionary. A tremendous thing.

Lady: I did take a seminar course on Barth that was done co-operatively with Clairmont and the school that Bernard Ramm is from Fuller Anyway Robinson, Ramm, and Bromiley are the cooperating professors. The little bit that I understood which was not a great deal I certainly felt he came off looking very good. However, he was the one who wrote an article in the Alumni paper several years after we were no longer there when the whole charismatic phenomena became so newsworthy detailing the fact that historically speaking the tongues was continuous throughout the history of the church.