idea to use the term. The text of the KJV is nearer to the majority of the MSS because practically all of our modern translations try to base as much as possible on what they think are our earliest MSS. My conviction is that if a you take a translation that is based on any sizeable group of MSS that have been preserved, you will get a text that is nearer to the original that whatever it presents really is true, is God's Word. And that the little differences between them are not vital. That mxm is my conviction.

But I think God intentionally allowed little differences to come in simply because you cannot make a sentence in English or in any other language and squeeze it to death and get all the truth out of that sentence. Human language doesn't permit that. He wants us to compare Scripture with Scripture and see what the key meaning of the book is rather than to base too much on any one verse.

Question: (Unclear) Something about thenew KJV Bible.

Just the NT of it. If they had done that 20 yrs. ago I would have said, Praise the Lord! Here is something in today's English for people to use. Now that we have got something as good as the NIV into which a lot more work has gone, I think it's too bad to get the people confused by having different groups. When that first started they asked me to go through Isaiah. They said simply to modernize the language, and I did that, for Isaiah a few years ago.

But man then they wrote me they were going to have a big advertizing campaign after the (NIV) had come out and they wanted me to send them everything about myself to use for advertizing, I said had held translate the NIV and that was well known and I don't want to be used to make competition in this field.

Its just impossible, and these We cannot get an exact dear souls in various places who are trying to get what the majority text has, well the Lord bless them. I think if they spent their time trying to get the great truths of Scripture across instead of worrying about the on which there is anv on which there is evidence sizeable

Question: What was a good answer to the argument that the ones who put together the text of Westcott and Hort and Nestles as opposed these liberals as opposed to the KJV translators. How do you answer these people? How can you trust these people they say who are modernists and these others arn't?

I would say this when I was in seminary and studied under those great Chrristian scholars -- GzeezzazdzMazbezzazdzWżzż R. D.Wilson and Machen they so an important theory took two MSS and put them up ahead of all the rest, and if one of these two went along with and the other didn't they said follow the different so they were and the others building up one MS, and that I though was an extreme attitude. We can't be that sure shich are the earliest MSS.