

in which the author of one of these papers would present a 20 min. resume of his paper and then for 40 min. there would be a discussion and they would answer questions. These were intersperced among the public meetings and among small meetings to discuss the declaration.

After this had gone on through Thursday and through a good part of Friday, they divided up the whole general _____ into groups, and again I had the same experience I'd had the day before. In this general group there was somebody who did not like this, somebody who did not like that, and sought to change things around. And you had maybe 10 different groups like this meeting. The group I was in was chaired by the President of a Lutheran Seminary, and one of the declarations which declared the importance of inerrancy ~~w~~ said we do not hold a person must believe in inerrancy to be saved, but we do hold it is important for Christian doctrine, for Christian understanding. We deny that we consider anybody not saved because they don't hold to inerrancy, or refuse to have fellowship with them, and there were one or two other terms in that one. Immediately the chairman of that group who was president of a Lutheran Seminary said, I could not sign that; no Lutheran could sign that!

To us that seemed the most natural thing. We can have Christian fellowship with someone who is saved through Christ and wanting to advance the great doctrines of Scripture, even though he doesn't believe in inerrancy, and even if he is confused on that point! But he said, No Lutheran could say that! Well, why? Because among the Lutherans the word "fellowship" has a different meaning than it does to us. We use the word