

whether there will be a millennium or not is a fraction (nc)  
as important as the question whether one is saved through what Christ did  
on Calvary, and this is the only method of salvation. I can be on the best  
of terms with one a true Chn° who has a false idea about

methods of interpretation *of Scripture*

one who believes in Christ  
spiritually whether materially or not

No one can be a true Chn° without being in the real sense of the word a  
Covenant theologian. ~~xxx~~ theologian.

Now let's look for a few minutes at the <sup>dangers</sup> dangers into which men may fall  
who call ~~xxx~~ themselves "Dispensationalists" may fall. The  
one ~~xxx~~ that has received the greatest attention is the danger of thinking  
that there is more than one way of salvation. Individuals may fall into  
this danger. Teachers may make statements that sound ~~xxx~~ as if they fell had fallen  
into it, but usually these statements are subject to another interpretation.

The Scofield Bible has been ~~xxx~~ falsely accused of falling into this  
danger. (nc) This is a false ~~xxx~~ accusation against Dispensationalists.

The Bible makes it abundantly clear that no one has ever been saved or ~~xxx~~ ever  
will be saved except through on the ground of the death of Jesus Christ  
on Calvary. This is the only basis of salvation found anywhere in the Scripture.

The unity of the method of salvation is clear. ~~A~~ Sometimes statements are  
made that ~~xxxx~~ can be interpreted as meaning denying this. Yet I have found  
that if one asks the author what he means he will find that he does not deny  
the unity of the covenant of grace. This is not a danger into which

~~Dispensationalists~~ Dispensationalists may fall. The danger is that  
of being misunderstood at this point. However, there are three very real  
dangers to which it is ~~xxxx~~ important to call attention. Number one of  
~~xx~~