Luther #10

bucket of salt on what he is cooking it is utterly ruined. When one assumes that figurative tage language is used to so great an extent as to that he could that make almost anything mean anything, this he can greatly greatly sections misinterpret important/sectors of the Word of God. This is what falsely termed "spiritualizing." It does not make something any more spiritual to take it as a figure of speech. But to consider something as so completely made up of figures of speech that one can get no solid teaching out of it has but only can take it as illustraing what he already had learned from other participation or thinks he has learned from other parts of the Bible, is fatasty falsely called "spiritualizing." It is a denger into which men sometimes fall. With all There are those among all fall who viewpoings who fall into this, but among those who abhor dispensationalism there is a particular danger of it. Thus an one most estimable writer says of the book of Revelation that everything in it is a figure of something else, that nothing in it as really tells describes something. He bases his thought largely upon another writer who expounds makes this claim regarding Revelation, of whom he speaks with great respect. Yet, when we compare the interpretation of the two Revelation we find that they differ radically in it. When one interprets the promises of the millennium in such a way as to spiritualize them he introduces a method of interpretation into Scripture which can just as well make the account of the xxxxxxxxxxxxx resurrection of Christ simply mean the great principle of permanence of personality. The method, carried to extremes, extreme, can get rid of any doctrine of Scripture. Personally, I think the premillennial teaching is very clearly contained in the Scripture. I believe that it there is no question that the OT° universal complete freedom from external danger upon this earth a period when all evil fwill be put down and the Word of God will go forth with power from Jerusalem. I do not think, however, that At is the question

10/11/76