and mostly followed Erasmus which was used for the translation of the KJV. And ten yrs. after the KJV came out, a publisher in Holland issued a Greek NT and in the front of it, in order to advertize it, he said THIS IS THE TEXTUS RECEPTUS. That was in Latin. The words Textus Receptus means received text. This is the text he said that is received everywhere, and actually it was his text which he had prepared based on Sæephanus, based on Erasmus, not the text used in the KJ which was based on Stephanus, but he said, this is the text received everywhere and that term has now come to be used for the text back of the KJV, though the text applied to something else, and came into existence actually at a later time!

Now why do I mentione this? Because there are good people who love the KJV and can't bear the thought of having any change from the KJV, but who will when you ask them, WB68 Who says it is meet that I go here? or I wast not that I should do, or I do you to wit about this, etc., they know that's a reason we should have something else, and they find that all translations made now follow texts that are based on early MSS instead of on the few late MSS that Erasmus and his successors got hold of. They are trying to find an excuse to stick to the KJV, and there is one book - the first of this type that came out - called The KJV Defended. Then on the inside of it you get that he is not talking about the KJV, but about the Greek back of the KJV. And the arguments he uses, I must confess, they would prove anything in the world - the type of argument he uses! You find a word that Erasmus put in that is not in any Greek MS, and he will give you