

word agape was given. I would not be surprised if the Geneva Version was a better version, though perhaps not a great deal better version from the viewpoint of giving God's truth. But the KJV is very good from this viewpoint, though it has some serious errors as I mentioned. But the KJV did have a style that was superior to the Geneva. Both of them were superior to most books that have ~~h~~ ever been written in English, because of the genius of Tyndale who adopted for Bible translation a style that he wasn't able to use in his own controversial writings. His Bible translation is far superior. God gave him a very special gift, and three-fourths of the words in the AV are the words Tyndale put in though most of them are spelled differently than he spelled them.

Tyndale had a very remarkable gift, and we have benefited by it and it was a little improved at certain points by several subsequent editions and the KJV profited by all of this. So for its day it had tremendous impact and it displaced most of the other versions.

Now in 1885, I believe it was, there as a Revised Version issued in England by a committee of British and American scholars. They worked on it for a number of years. In many ways they made a more accurate version than the KJV. I have known some great Bible teachers who always used the American Standard Version which is substantial the same as the English Revised Version which appeared c. 1885. When it appeared the ^{American} members of the committee agreed that they would not issue any other version until a certain of years passed. So when that number had passed, in 1901 the