

its principle effect is the impact that it has. But to all of us it should have some effect from the viewpoint of knowledge and I believe it should have far more than it does because I find that even the greatest of theologians often simply read ==repeat what they were told by their professors or what they read in a book of ~~theology~~ theology and do not go to the Scriptures to see whether these things are so. Even most students of theology, I fear, take half of what they believe simply on the word of some human being instead of on what they have found clearly taught in the Word of God. I believe there is much truth in the Bible that no man has worked out yet, no man has fully understood. I believe God wants some of us to study the Word, to dig into it and find the answers to all sorts of problems on which human beings are struggling, thinking this way and that and the other. God has the answer in His Word but we are not going there and working into the Word to find what is there. I believe we do need to develop this aspect of the Bible as a source of knowledge.

But I also believe that for the greater part of the Christian world, the effect of the impact is perhaps even greater than the effect as a source of knowledge. I would not be at all surprised that the Geneva Bible was a better Bible from the viewpoint of its presentation of God's truth, than the KJV which was made 50 yrs. later. Certainly it was far better in using the word "love" instead of that word "charity" which I don't think ever conveys a correct idea to anybody! Even the KJ translators did not use it in more than a fifth of the cases where the Greek