

two instances that I was going to mention. It would take a bit of time to go into them in detail. There is one I am going to mention. It is Isa. 53:12. As it reads in the KJV it says:

"Because he has poured out his soul unto death; and he was numbered with the transgressors; and he bore the sin of man." What more do you need to say? He poured out his soul unto death, and he was numbered with the transgressors and He bare the sin of many. There's the atonement clearly stated. What is added by saying, "And he made intercession for the transgressors"? Well, that's not an argument, the fact that it does not seem to us to make sense. We want to find the sense that's in the Bible. But in the Bible you have the past tense - "he poured out his soul unto death; he was numbered with the transgressors; he bare the sin of many" and then it switches tenses to a tense that is quite usually translated as future. If you translate it literally it would be "and he will make intercession for the transgressors." So here you have in this last verse of ch 53 a description of the atonement of Christ, with three phrases explaining it fully and then it ends with the statement that he will make intercession for the transgressors through the ages to come. It describes Jesus ~~is~~ sitting at the right hand of God making intercession for us. It is an added thought, not merely a repetition of what's already been stated in three phrases. The change of tense is definite. They simply mistranslated because they failed to note the great Christian truth changed? contained in this verse. The KJV -- the greatest scholar of the day whose ~~name~~ name was Hugh Broussen(sp?) was not invited to work on it, because he was a hard man to work with. When it was finished they asked him