

There is no question. I could point out errors in the OT. I could point out errors in the NT. It is absolutely inexcusable that where earlier English versions had translated the commandments : "Thou shalt not murder", they changed it to "thou shalt not kill." The Heb. does not say "thou shalt not kill." The Heb. commands the killing of animals for sacrifice. It permits the killing of animals for meat. It gives regulations for war. It orders specifically that murders are to be killed. To take it as "thou shalt not kill" is a mistranslation and not the meaning of the word. But great misunderstanding has come in through the use of that word, and I asked the Scofield committee to change it in the NSRB but they said, Oh, you cannot tamper with the ten commandments." So ~~th~~ there is not even a footnote. ?/ later corrected/

It still has that mistranslation, alas "thou shalt not kill." The NSRB I was able to persuade them to make many changes in wording, not a tremendous number - just about one ~~ix~~ to a page. It does not make a great deal of change but it does make it possible to understand a lot of words that just don't make any sense today. But when I mentioned that it was as if I had touched the ark of the covenant! (Laughter).

I am not going to take time as I intended to read you the first part of Is. 29. Let me say briefly that Is. 29 describes the condition of Jerusalem with the Sennacherib's army of invasion approaching. A condition of misery. Then it suddenly changes to the relief that God brought when He caused that Sennacherib's army, the great bulk of them should die and Sennacherib had to give up the seige and go back, to his home-land. Now at that place in the KJV ^{historical books} it says "when they awoke in the morning they were all dead men."