

Now in Mat. 1:23 they have translated the NT very accurately. They say in Mat. 1:23 "All this took place to fulfill what the Lord had spoken by the prophet, Behold a virgin shall conceive and bear a son and his name shall be called Immanuel (which means God with us.)" That's what they say in the NT. They have a footnote which refers you back to Isa. 7:14. When you look back at Isa. 7:14 you find that there they translate it: "Therefore the Lord Himself will give you a sign, Behold a young woman shall conceive and bear a son and shall call his name Immanuel." Of course they have a footnote: "or virgin." But according to that Matthew just simply misunderstood the OT and took the word "young woman" to mean "a virgin."~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ Well if that's what they were going to do they ought to say so in the NT, if it's a Holy Bible. There ought to be some agreement between the two.

The early edition of this of which I have a copy here at the beginning of Matthew where it gives the genealogy of Christ it ends with the words: "and Jacob the father of Joseph the husband of Mary of whom Jesus was born who is called Christ." There they have a footnote which says: "other ancient authorities read: <sup>Joseph</sup> ~~CECECE~~ to whom was betrothed the virgin Mary was the father of Jesus who is called Christ," which flatly contradicts what they say in the text. But they say "other ancient authorities read," and there is not a single Greek MS. Well, of course they don't say other Greek MSS they say "other ancient authorities." There is one ancient Syriac MS possibly two, and actually you notice what they say in the footnote: "Joseph to whom was betrothed the virgin Mary was the father of Jesus who is called Christ." It stresses