

~~the living soul~~ a living soul that is the same phrase that is used repeatedly of the animals before. It is not referring to man's spirit. It is referring to man as a living being. Man was not a living being before he was man. Genesis definitely shuts out any doctrine of man developing from any ape or anything else--whatever development he may have had first. There is a tremendous amount of evidence that isn't certainly on the basis

there is no regard. because there must have been a fair amount of it.

Well, now the question is what does Christianity believe in a lost salvation. as contrasted with a progressively improved man of the race is a basic concept

But then on details these four sides that are definitely taught in the Bible Man has God's Word and should stand on it. But I think we ought to keep out of getting into arguments on the long periods, long times between the creation

of the earth and the creation of man. The Bible doesn't tell us, and there is no reason we should review the issue that people set apart what the Bible does not enter into. Now to go on to the third how it's done. Maybe some

of you will want later to ask a question about this, but I don't know, but I think rather than take the time to do it now, I think we should go on to the third, probably less of you are familiar with than the second part.

How did it start? Well, the general idea today is that it started with the first Evolutionist. Nothing could be further than the truth. Henry ^{Fair}Garfield *Olson*

Lodge in his book in which he has found 100 people whom in the 50 years before *Shaw* had taught Evolution. We find the ancient philosophers Lucretius taught

Evolution. In fact, if God didn't create the universe, how did it come into

being? If all this complexity we see about us is not the result of divine activity on the part of God, how did it come to be? What other interpretation

do you have to judge? except Evolution? I had here a book published by the University of Chicago, by a professor of ^{zoology}Evolution, Biology, and Genetics,

in which he skips over statements about Evolution and one of them is specifically that that ~~it~~ there is no other theory. There is no other way to account for

the great variety, the great heterogeneous there are no rival hypotheses