«chapel 10/19/73 Archaeology

to the Med. Sea and fought against Ashdod and took it. But Sargon as is typical of all monarchs took the credit himself in his inscription for what Tartan did. So the HC tried to correct the Bible; archaeology corrected the HC; now we can use the Bible to correct archeology. Putting it together we get considerable more knowledge of the events of those days than we would have otherwise. There is a great deal we don't know about them. But here is a grt. conquerer, one of the grt. kings of ancient times, completely forgetton except for the fact that Isa. used him name in order to tell the time when a part of theWord of God was given. The Word of God lasts, and the grt. exploits of Sargon have disappeared.

Now let's turn back to Genesis. In Gen. 12 we have a vs. which Prof. R.D. zwisten under whom Istudied at Princeton Seminary p frequently used to quote when he was speaking about archaeology in relation to the Bible. The vs.is Gen. 12:16 where we read that Abram Pharach entreated bss well for her sake and he had sheep and oxen and she asses and en servants and maid servants and she asses andcamels. Dr. Wilson used to say: Here is this vs. in Gen. telling about A's wealth that he accumulated in Egy. and there is no mention in it about horses. Horses are not included in thelist. In fact when I studied in Germany. I think about one person in every 30 in G rmany had an automobile and there was about 1 in every 3 in the U.S.A. had an automobile. Now at that time if a German had come to this country and become wealthy and you were given a list of the property he had, I am sure that automobiles would be mentioned among them. To them then that was one of the signs of American opulence was the possession of automobiles. And in thetimes of the later Israelite Kgdm. the possession of horses was one of the remember characteristic things of Egypt. You remeber Pharach and his horsemen are so prominent in the story of the Red Sea for instance. For some one in the time of the later Israelite kgdm. when the Higher Critics say that this part of Gen. was written, to have described an immaginary character going into Egy. and becoming wealthy and listed his property and not mentioned horses among it would be absolutely inconceivable. But Dr. Wilson pointed out that it is within the last 100 yrs. that we have learned that at the time of Abraham the horse was unknown in Egypt and tht the horsae was introduced into Egypt. when the Hykses conquered Egypt because of their possession of horses and ability in using them they were able to make their lightening attack and conquer the land and

6

Wilson