18 - OT

that

Now this semester I'm going to deal particularly with the book of Numbers and Christian the average pepson does not know much about the Book of Numbers. We have takne/ taken the Book of Num. and quoted a few isolated instances in Sunday School, interesting incidents of history and we can get some lessons from it. That is worth while. But God I don't think would have put it in the Bible just for that. I think there is title much more. I think that people have been frightened away from the book by its types (are taken) It's a queer thing when you think of it that the Meb/ titles of the booksmostly simply by the first few Heb. words of the book and it often like in Deut. - These are the names for you No, Deut., these are the words. These are the names is Exodus. And these Heb. titles don't tell you much about the book. but the Greeks Cases gave them names which in most instances gave them good descriptions - Gen. - Greek for beginning; Ex. - Gk. for going out; Lev. - for the bk. of the priestly activities, and Deut. - the second giving of the law. Our people in America today who don't know much Gk. would be better off if we translated those words into Eng. instead of taking over the Gk. word. But in one case, the one case where the Greeks gave a very poor ently name that really doesn't fit the book at all, is this fourth book which which they named "arithma" and for some crazy reason instead of our calling it "arithmoi" which Deut. would be no more to our people today than Deuthronomoi does, we translate d it into Eng. and call it Numbers. It's the only one we trans. and its the only one where the name doesn't fit, but the Heb. name in this particular case does fit and this excellently, and I'm just afraid that that name which well describes the first chap. but not much otthe Bork else's that that name has led people to loose much of the great value that Christians should have from the Bk. of Numbers.