

But Huxley was a different sort of man. Huxley was the man who spread the ideas of evolution. He was a fighter; a bull-dog. Darwin called Huxley his general agent. Huxley called himself Darwin's bull-dog. Huxley went out and fought. He hated the church. He'd always hated the church of England. When he found the church was opposing Darwin, that brought him strongly on Darwin's side. As a matter of fact, Darwin & Huxley had discussed D's theories at great length. They were very good friends. And H would say, Well, this doesn't sound right to me. I think every species is a separate creation. I don't think there is any evolution from one to the other, and time after time H said this to D. Then when D wrote his book D showed the MS of the book to H, and H looked the book over and said, I don't loke to disagree with you, I have very great regard for you, but I don't think it's true what you've said. I think every species is a separate creation.

But when D's book was published and the bishops of the church of England began to attack it, and H had always hated the church of E. and had said, A Bishop couldn't possible be right on anything! Darwin(Huxley) was asked to review the book after these people had been attacking it, and H said, I read the book in print and some-way it made a different impression from what it did when I read the MS. I daw now that D was right! From that time H went on attacking those who did not accept the theory of evolution and very strongly favoring evolution. He was the force that put evolution across in England and in the English speaking world. Yet, toward the end of H's life, he was asked to serve on a commission to consider British education, to consider the future of British education. H had in the meantime been knighted by the Queen. ~~XX~~ This was very common in England now. He was put on this Commission. to study English Education and make recommendations for the future. H in the report he brought in on English education said, I do not see how England can maintain decent moral standards and can retain its ability as a strong nation if the Bible is not kept at the very center of English education. Even though he was denying the truths of Christianity and opposing the church, H still had this idea about the Bible!

How different H's grandson who not long ago was head of one of the important branches of the UN. He was opposing Christianity and opposing the Bible and saying we need a new religion, an evolutionary religion. He grandfather had said, Evolution is true in the sphere of the animal creation, in the sphere of vegetation, but he said when you come to human life, if you apply ~~evolutionary~~ evolutionary principles, you are going to have disaster. You must have the Bible, he said, for moral life and stability of the nation.

That was the attitude a century or two ago. But today everywhere you go, you find ~~litt~~ little slurs on the Bible. Our newspapers, magazines, little slurs on the Bible. Listen to almost any news broadcast of any length and there's apt to be a little slur on the Bible. A studnet ~~gxxxkx~~ goes to one of our colleges and mentions he believes in the Bible; right away, Which do you believe in? Gen. 1 or Gen. 2? Wh~~th~~h story of creation do you accept of these two contradict~~ory~~ stories? The fellow may not have even thought whether there was any contradiction between them. When you examine them carefully, I don't believe there's any contradiction.