

and nothing like the simple ideas of simply another man writing on the same scroll.

That's the way with these destructive theories of the Bible. It takes more faith to believe them than it takes to believe that God gave us His word, that God spoke and we can depend upon what it says. But from the foundation of the world God knew that all this unbelief would come in our day, that all this attack upon the Word of God would come in our day, so God ordained that in these last days, when this movement of higher criticism would spread through the world that another movement would begin later and would follow the movement of the higher criticism and would make it necessary for Professor Anderson to put in his dotted lines! ~~XXXX~~ When you put those in you destroy the very foundation upon which the Welhausen theory is based.

~~There are many many places where we can see this most in-~~  
terestingly, but I think that one interesting case relates to still another book that is most doubted by deniers of the Word and that is the book of Daniel. The Professor out there said the book of Daniel was not written until 400 yrs. after the time of Nebuchadnezzar, because he said it describes all the events for ~~5~~ 400 years in Mat. 11(?) so it must have been ~~wix~~ written after it. If we believe God is not dead, we believe God could describe those events ~~x~~ in advance, but that is a point of orthodoxy almost among all critical scholars, that Isaiah was written 400 years after the time at which the Bible says Daniel lived under Nebuchadnezzar.

I took some courses under a very wonderful scholar at the U. of PA. who wrote a commentary on Daniel, but in his commentary this man who follows the critical view to quite an extent said, He found such evidence that the thought the book of Daniel must have been