68 - Higher Critician

12/23/66 e 1 **EXXXXX**

Parely does one find in these books any mention of the Higher Criticism.

Fifty years ago there was widespread discussion as to whether the Higher

Criticism is true. Today these books simply present the conclusions of the

Higher Criticism as fact, and winexthexa as a rule drop the term altogether.

It is interesting to notice that general books on historical and literary term criticism also have ceased to use the word "Higher Criticism." Skx Fifty years ago it was widely said that the term "Higher Criticism" was simply a well-recognized and important section of literary study, and that anyone who was interested in the date or authenticity of a book was per se a higher critic. Today the term is no longer used in this sense. Instead it is mentioned-either-in-a-slighting-way;-er;if-mentioned-at-all;-either-in-a , if at all, slighting waxxxx if mentioned/atfall; either in a slighting way, or as a rather questionable type of approach, wax or as an xxx approach which is practically which is confined to Biblical materials.

All this illustrates the fact that the teaching which is today so widespread in the field of Biblical study, is actually a survival of an approach that was widely prevalent during two centuries in the general field