

Evolution #14

very interesting. Let us ask this question: Did ^{all} ~~the~~ life come from one very simple start? say just a one-celled animal? Well, this bio-chemist told me - he said, "The amoeba, and other one-celled animals even simpler than the amoeba; one-celled, think of it, it takes a microscope to see it; you think it the simplest thing imaginable, isn't it? Well, "in that amoeba," he said, "there are as many as 100 different enzymes working together as a system to produce chemical changes in utilizing elements / chemical elements, doing things that you and I can't do; and we have to have so-called 'lower life' to get some of the things that we need in order to live." But the very simplest we can find has that complicated mechanism inside of it. It would have taken a tremendous evolution to develop that. Your very simplest would have to be a long, long distance back of that.

Now the other thing I wanted to mention is a man who used to teach in the University of California, used to teach botany there. And then he took a position with a rose company. And he spent many years - he just retired from this rose company. And he was there, working for them, developing new varieties of plants. And he told me this: he said, "I have found that if I take almost any kind of roses or other plants;" and he said, "I take this kind, and I start in by selective breeding, cross-breeding, and careful selection," he said, "I can improve the type tremendously in a short time." There's development; see? He improves it tremendously in a short time. "But," he said, "I found two things: one is, there is a limit beyond which I cannot go; I improve it a certain amount, very quickly, and beyond that I am unable to go." And here it was his business. He had a chance of making great profits if he could improve it farther and farther. There was always a limit where he was stopped. "And," he said, "I found this: once I stopped the careful ~~the~~ selection and cross-breeding, and leave it to go on by itself, before long it reverts to its previous condition." He found no proof of a natural process of changing from one kind to another, but quite the opposite.

I believe it's very important that we go to the Scripture and see what it says; and see carefully what it says, and don't read into it. And what you find that it says you can stand upon. God did not give us the Bible to teach us science. He gave us the Bible