

brought his hand down on the knee of the man next to him, whom he didn't even know (the man jumped), and Huxley said, "The Lord hath delivered him into my hand." And the man wondered what he meant. But Huxley saw that the ideals of justice, and of ~~honor~~ and of ~~seeking~~-~~tr~~ seeking truth, which were the result of the great awakening, and the result of the teaching of the Bible in England, that those ideals were being deserted by the men who were standing for dead orthodoxy, standing for the doctrines without making them living in their lives, and that a great number of people, who didn't really know what they believed about the Bible, had been affected by these ideals. Now Huxley of course, well, I started to say Huxley ~~really~~ didn't/knew these came from the Bible. I shouldn't say that, because toward the end of his life Huxley was put on a committee to study British education, and Huxley brought out a report that said ~~that~~ the Bible should be at the center of British education because you'll never have high character unless you have the Bible. I don't know quite how he fit it with his evolutionary ideas; and his descendants have taken a very different attitude. But that was what Huxley did. That was the respect he had for the Bible as a source of ideals. But he saw that the bishop, in dealing superficially with science, and in ~~g~~ giving an argument that isn't an argument at all, "is it through your father or/your mother you are descended from a monkey?" - what's that got to do with it? The question is, "What's the truth?" If the Bible said we're descended from ~~xxx~~ monkeys I wouldn't hesitate to believe it. But the Bible says the exact opposite. And when you build it on an argument like that, you're dealing fast ~~and loose with truth~~ and loose with truth. And Huxley saw it. And he said, "The Lord hath delivered him into my hands." And so, when Huxley's turn to speak came, he got up and he spoke very quietly at first about it; and then he took up some ~~scientific~~ scientific arguments ~~about it, and the other~~ in a field in which he had done direct work, but which really didn't have much to do with whether the theory of evolution was true or not. But he dealt with these and showed the bishop was scientifically just not accurate in these things. And then, when he came to