

That's a duplicate; a parallel narrative because earlier in the same book we read that the man who was elected President in 1860 was shot in Washington by a Southern sympathizer and was succeeded by a man named Johnson who had previously been senator from a southern state which began with TE. How could you have such parallels? How perfectly absurd! I am sure that 500 yrs. from now the same man reading the history would say, What a crazy parallel when he read the history of the I and II World Wars. In both of them American troops went over to Europe and fought against Germany, and joined with England and France and were victorious twice in one century. One of them must be duplicate of the other! Must be two different narratives! Then I am sure he would be absolutely convinced of this when he would read that in 1914 toward the beginning of WWI, a German admiral who was named Graf Spee met led a fleet of of British ships off the eastern coast of S. America and there was a battle in which the German's were defeated and Graf Spee when == went to the bottom of the ocean with his ship. You turn over and look at WWII and you read that in 1940 a German battle ship named Graf Spee met some British ship off the eastern coast of S. America, and that the Graf Spee was sunk to the bottom of the ocean. Of course in case Graf Spee is a man and in the other it's a ship. There may be some little confusion here, but surely they are parallel. They can't both have happened. Yet any history will tell you that both did happen just as Andrew Johnson became president in 1865 and Lyndon Johnson became president in 1963.

Parallels in history are many times as great and as close as the few cases you find in the Pentateuch. But these attacks upon the Bible have been so read so during the last \pm 16(?) (60?) years you might say its like throwing a rock into the middle of the ~~task~~