

And he said unto the woman, Yea hath God said ye shall not eat of every tree of the garden? And the woman said, . . . God hath said, Ye shall not freely eat.

You have Lord God before and the Lord after but right here before you is a God. The woman is dealing with the serpent and the sacred name of God showing his relation to man would be out of place. Instead the term is used showing God as the great powerful creator.

So in this section ~~wxzx~~ which they say is recognized as J because it always uses the name Jehovah, four times we have God used and they admit it is because it has a different meaning which fits in this place. The same thing applies as between ch. 1~~x~~ and ch. 2. In ch. 1 God is the natural term to use. In ch. 2-4 Jehovah is the natural name to us. There are many cases where either would fit perfectly and sometimes we find both used in one verse, where we are thinking of God in his great power and God as the one who loves the men whom he has created.

So the foundation of the J,E,D,P theory rests upon a false idea of the relationship of of chs. 1 and 2, and the false idea of the use of these names for God, upon which the whole theory was originally started and calimed at first to be based. Though there have been found so many cases where ~~ixixx~~ it is found if that part doesn't fit they say, O that's just one phase of it; that's just one minor factor. But they use it a tremendous lot in their arguments, whether you have the one name or the other.

They say ch. 1~~x~~ and ch. 2 contradict one another. Do they? They say they contradict each other in two ways. First, because in Gen. 1 it starts with a watery chaos. "The earth was without form and void and darkness was upon the face of the deep, and the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the water." A watery chaos.

Then they say the second account of creation that starts at the end of ch. 2:4 for the Lord God had not caused it to rain upon the earth and there was not a man to till the ground, but there went up a mist from the earth . . ." They say one starts with a watery chaos; one starts with a dry arid waste. What a contradiction!

A second contradiction, they say, is in the order of the events of creation.

As to the first contradiction, my wife might tell you that tonight we left Elkins Park and drove over across the ~~bx~~ bridge and came over here. We might give an account of our coming here, starting in PA. And Dr. Richter might tell you that tonight he saw me walk in this door and walk up to him and shake his hand and talk to him here. One started in PA and one started in NJ. What a contradiction! But there's no contradiction if you realize that one starts at a different point than the other one starts. Gen. 1 starts with the creation of matter . . ."in the beginning God created Heaven and Earth." Then after matter