

He said in a comparatively brief time he ~~xx~~ would tremendously improve almost anything he told hold of by selection and by cross-breeding. But, he said, he ~~walwya~~ always found that very soon he reached the point of improvement beyond which he was absolutely unable to go. He was never able to change one into something that was so different you could call it a different species. Never!

Here was a chance to make loads of money because of it. He was working hard at it. But he always found a limit beyond which he could not go. He said, Whenever he would stop his careful selection and careful arrangement of them and ~~x~~ leave them to themselves they would very soon revert to the same condition that they were when he began to work with them. In other words he found definite barriers which seemed to correspond to what Linneaus called a species.

But I don't think he need to insist they must correspond to a species. I don't know what they correspond to for sure, but the Bible says there are specific kinds and God created each kind separately. Man was created.

Incidentally, if you take the simplest type of life that there ~~xxx~~ is -- take the Ameoba, the one called amoeba or take one cell of an organism that is even simpler than the amoeba. They tell me . . . there are as many as 100 different enzymes in one little cell, working together as a system to produce organic and chemical changes ~~ix~~ that what we call the advanced creatures can't do, and we have the get simpler creatures to get those clemicals from them. We have, of course, a very complex enzyme structure in our bodies, but even that one cell has over 100 enzymes working together as a system. Something so minute it takes a microscope to see it.

God made a very complex world, and the idea that it all started with a very simple beginning and worked into a very complex system by natural processes is something that is not only contradicted by Genesis completely, but something that there is no proof for and could be no proof for. You would have to have millions of elements to prove it, to prove that everything developed from one simple start.

It's like the higher criticism. It's a complex series of many alleged evidences, no one of which proves it, and all of which put together do not prove it. God has brought to light in this last century the movement of archaeology which has brought evidence showing that the Bible in the very sections most doubted, is absolutely dependable, and free from error.

Let's look at the story of Abraham. We read in Gen. 12:16 that Pharaoh had treated ~~him~~ him well fro her sake and he had sheep and oxen and she-asses and men servants . . . and cattle. Forty years ago I heard Dr. Robert Dick Wilson talk about this . . . and he said there is no mention here of horses. He said ancient Egypt is known for the great ~~lack~~ ^{land} of the horse.