not a sufficient source, because there is much in these fields that it does not that give because this not its purposex w. It does not even give us a complete history of the life of Chfist, sx as John whas pointed out, which it gives a selection of the important things in His life. The Bible is complete for the purpose for which it was intended. It is not complete for other purpose oth purposes. However, in relation to these other purposes it is not erroneous. As it touches upon these different fields, it touches correctly. What we we can truly infer from the Bible, about any such error area of seei science is true. We must be careful, however, that we do actually find it in the Bible and not read our own ideas into the Bible, as it is so easy to do.

One time I had a public discuss on with a dean of a liberal theological seminary about the matter of Biblical inspiration. He took he attitude that the Bible is a good book in spiritual matters & but that we when it comes to material matters, it is not at all k dependable. I took the attitude that is it is plenary, and verbally inspired and free from error. The discussion a was so arranged that after each of us had made an introductory statement, me- members of the audience could address questions to one or the other of us, and that one would answer and the other α would also have opportunity to discuss the question that had been raised. The debate was held on a fine level of courtesy, and there was no xix interruption by any speaker of any other exp except enee- once, and a that was one time when I just couldn't help myself. As I sat in the front & row listenting to the dean discussing his view of an anw-anw- answer to one of the questions he made the remark that the Bible teaches a flat earth, teaches that the earth is flat. I couldn't help mysle- myself, I blutted out, Where, and he said, All of it, and I said, Where and he said, the Book of Genesis, and I saidxk≡ where