

but comparatively few, but if you take any verse of the Bible in which there is no evidence of a (13 1/4)variation, I would say there is still the possibility that that verse may have been, in ~~one~~ or two of its letters, incorrectly translated . You take any two verses of the Scripture in which there is no evidence of a variation, and I will say that the possibility of ~~these~~ being an error in both verses is so very slight it's practically non-existent. And now somebody asks right away is why on earth did God do it this way? If he bothered to give us an entire Scripture, absolutely correct and infallible as the original writers wrote it, why didn't he cause it to be preserved with absolute accuracy. Well, God could have put it on tables of bronze, had it written there and it would stand there on the mountain ~~top~~^{side}, nothing to happen to change it, perhaps not have any rain there, or anything that could possibly affect it, have it stand there, and we go and see it and have it exactly that way. It has not been preserved ~~that~~ way. It has been preserved in most remarkable accuracy, but there ~~are~~ instances in which errors have crept in in a few (14 1/4)

Why did God do this? We don't know. That he did it we do know. It is a fact. Marvelous accuracy but not absolutely right. Now as to why, I constructed my own theory, which I find satisfactory, as to why God did not bother to have it preserved with 100 % accuracy, instead of 99 9/10's...