group that is trying to study all the different manuscripts that they can get a hold of, to get all the evidence that they can of the precise original wording of the Greek, and they is gave this material, they told ambout the is effort which is a very valuabele effort, but the way that they told it. they said the New Testament is uncertain as to kits teststexts, evern-even the Loxird's prayer is uncertain, and the fact was that in the Lord's pray yer as given in Matt. you have it in-ending the the words for thine is the ing-kingdom and the power and the glory forever, amen. And there were some manuscripts of sentence

Matthew that did knot have that any in it. I would guess that 99 out of 100

have those words & but there are a few manuscripts the doe-that don't and on maybe 999 out of a thousand but there are a few mes. that don't so they want to list all the manuscripts that ** do and * all that don't, so the book Says fithare-- that even the wording of the Lord's prayer is questional-questionable. Well,

They didn't need to look at Matthew to get that , k look k at the Lord's prayer in Lucke, kere those words are missing. The Lord's prayer in Lucke is almost identical with the Lord's prayer in Matthew, but that last sentence in that was sensined—, but that last sentence, for thine is the kingdom and the power and the glory, is not in k Luke. Now, isn't that terrible, , we aren't sure about the Lord's prayer, whether that one belongs in it or not, we don't have that in textual critics ism. Just compare Matthew and Luke, one has that in and one doesn't have it. Isn't that terrible, hw—how are we going to know how lesus gave the Lord's prayer, whether he put that in or not. Did he give the Lord's prayer at 10 in the morning or was it three in the aret—afternoon, or did he give it in the evening, when did he give it, the Bible doesn't it tell us. The Bible—Tk Did the Lord give the Lord's prayer once or did He give it to them a dozen times, did he sue—usually include that last time, these