even my guess is that he repeated . I know if I never gave anything but once in the class, & comparatively few kmembers in & the class would have much of an idea of the things that I wanted to transmit totle class. You repeat, you give the k same thing in other words xxx and present it in different ways, but when you have different arguments, you simply present the same thing, there is no need of just giving it once, and if xxxx he wanted to spread his teaching, he had two disciples, and as these disciples left, replaced by others and wax as he wanted specific ones who were to be the leading disciples and the great apostles, he wanted them to recals I important things, he repeated these things over and over, and so one time he gave this x way and one time this way , and the central thought is the same but there may be little details which may differ, and you don't have to say well, this is a the manuscript, of this ad ft. manuscript, fand they come together by ome--some process, they have the same thing yet slightly differentk in words , Jesus may have very well have given slightly differently a on different occasions, and one apostlex in his gospel records ew-how he gave it eneon one occasion and one records how the gave it on other occasions, but inspiration means that it is free from error. You can take those words and a squeeze a them and get all sorts oth- of things that are not there. A few years ago I <del>ge -</del> got a letter from a man who was working From Inter-Varsity down in Va. and he said People & in the Un. of Va. will not be interested in the Bible for the next \$10 years until the affect of this issue of Look magazine has been forgotten, well, I think that he greatly progressed but it was very unfortumenate that that that issue of Look magazine which was disatributed all over the country had an article in it about the textual criticism