

to the scripture that there is no evidence that he did anywhere else. Now, I have two dictionaries here which I thought might be interesting, to call your attention to. Here is Webster's New World Dictionary of the English Language, College edition. I just happen to have these in my library. I didn't go looking for the latest copies. But this is dated 1959

the advertisement said that it is the largest college edition for of the Webster Dictionary, well now in this 1959 edition we find here, under inspiration
 --New World Dictionary of the American Language, ... that the definitions *College ed.*

given are very unsatisfactory from a Christian viewpoint, and of course the writers of the dictionary have simply tried to see how is the word used today in the main, and so they have given us meanings for it, 1) a breathing in, ^{inhaling} drawing of air into the ~~you~~ lungs, as opposed to ~~exhaling~~ expiration, and that of course is the literal meaning of the word inspiration, the breathing in, but then they say second, an ~~in-~~ inspiring, or being inspired mentally or emotionally, ^{creative} 3) an ~~inspiring~~ influence, any stimulus to ^{create} thought or action, 4) an inspired idea, action ~~xx~~ and so on, 5) a prompting of ~~won~~ something written or said, suggestion ^{in Theology} 6) ~~is~~ a divine influence upon human ~~g~~ beings resulting in writing, as of the ~~x~~ scriptures, -scriptures, or in action, as of a saint. Now, that is the definition they give as of '59 and it is very different from ~~k~~ what the Webster's Collegiate dictionary, ~~45th~~ 4th ~~ed~~ edition, 1935 in relation to inspiration, and it shows how the general thought and ~~attati~~-attitude of our secular world was moving during these years. I ⁱⁿ this ~~dx~~ edition of 1935 we find that inspiration ~~a~~ has the first definition just the same as there, number one, ~~z~~-acti-o-act of breathing in, specifically of air into the lungs,