## SEMINARY # 1

as the first

**HARTS**/- parts: ch. 1 through ch. 39 of the book-of Isaiah, and 40 thru 66 as the second Isaiah. This argument was presented about 150 years ago. And they said, Look at the first part of Isaiah. He is dealing with the immediate situation there in Israel. And then he said, look at the second per half of Isaiah. He is  $\cancel{f}$  no longer talking about kings of Israel, the people for of Israel showing God's wrath upon them for their sins. But he is looking forward to the exile, and declaring that God is going to rescue His people. So, he said, the background of the first part is Palestinian, and the background of the second part is Babylonian. In the first part Assyria is the great  $\cancel{f}$  enemy. In the second part it is Babylonia that is only a small portion of on the horizon, when Isaiah was writing. So, the second part is entirely different from the first part. And then they compare the \_\_\_\_\_\_ (7.50)

And then after a little while they could not put the verses...

After chapter 53

so the critics said, well, we cannot answer that. Do they divided Isaiah the Isaiah is Babylionian, into three parts: /second/Isaiah-running from ch. 40 to 56:, and the third is Palestinian again. In-- It is not our purpose today to the ene enter into the merely critical problems of Isaiah at all. I would/state a view that I believe in, and then clearly present it. We believe that the book of Isaiah came from one man Isaiah. But I do believe that .. of critical argument is correct to  $\neq$  a certain extent. That is, from ch. 40 to 55 Isaiah is looking forward to the situation when the Israelites will be in exile, and looking forward to the situation where God is going to deliver Israel from Iexile - exile. And then after 39,