

12/4/62 cont'd

53:8 voluntarily gave himself....He was taken from prison and from judgment.
has been

There ~~is~~ much argument about this particular phrase. It is exactly what it

does mean. Some say his judgment was taken away. It's very hard to be sure

of the exact meaning of those words. But certainly the general statement is

described here, he is going to his suffering and the suffering ends with ^{the statement} "who

shall declare his generation?" Again the people try to say this generation cannot

mean posterity but ~~XXX~~ ^{must mean} contemporary. But it seems to me that posterity ^{is} surely

a justifiable ~~XXXXX~~ interpretation and in the context it certainly makes the

logical thought. "Who will declare any result to come from ~~this~~ what he has
^{they} done?" Here he was ~~a~~...~~we~~ said he preached, he spoke about... he gave his

wonderful message and now he's cut off. We thought he was the one that is

going to redeem Israel.....instead he's gone...cut off in the flower of

his youth. No affect, no posterity, eleven ~~disc~~ disciples all fleeing away, who's

going to declare his generation? Who's going to see any results to come from what

he did?" He's cut off out of the land of the living ^{as if} "it seems ~~that~~ this one is the

servant of the Lord and instead of setting ~~at~~ to ~~ac~~ accomplish a great work for God,

he is just cut off. But actually, it was "for the transgression of my people that he

was stricken." I believe he was not cut off, actually he gave himself voluntarily

"for the transgressions of my people."

Question: Answer: Yes, You'll find in English that would be very reasonable.

Who will declare any results from what he did? I wouldn't say that he declared

what he began but he declared what he began goes on that it generates, it accomplishes.

Question: Answer: What this means is...this is not pointing out the greatness of

what he does but pointing out the seeming fruitlessness of what he does.