

000673

...mostly deal with sort of a general discussion on (3/4)

don't seem to try to relate it. How's that? Yes. It's general verses. You may have some very important things about a verse but you don't relate it to thread of the discourse. Now here we've had 53, with the Atonement in it, the action of Christ, and 55, we have the invitation, Gospel invitation. Now what does 54 have to do with 55? What does it have to do with 53? Who is he talking to? If he promises Jerusalem, if he says there'll never again be such a catastrophe as took place at the Babylonian exile, well why did he say a thing like that when they had a ~~far~~ far greater than the Babylonian exile, when the Romans destroyed the city just as badly as Nebuchadnezzar had, and didn't simply take them off to Babylon, but sold them into slavery to the four corners of the earth, and for decades they were forbidden to enter Jerusalem. And never for two thousand years were they able to have important headquarters at Jerusalem, or have much say about it. Now that was certainly a far greater exile than the one that took place with the Babylonians. So if they were given a promise they wouldn't have that experience (2) again, well, this doesn't seem to fit, does it?

You find useful ideas about a particular word, a particular statement, but considered together, they don't seem to bother about that. Yes, Mr. Grauley? (2 1/4)

Let's see if we can see a few clues here as to just what it is talking about. Take 54.1. Sing, O barren, thou that didst not bear. Now who has been described as the barren one who has not borne. Perfect. Who didst not bear. Well, who would it be? What it be, did not bear, does it mean that they did not have physical children? Or does it stand for something else? Is it literal or figurative? Well, now if it is literal, surely the children of Israel had children during the exile. Just as much as before, should think. Maybe under a nervous condition they had ~~more~~ more difficulty, might have less children, but they certainly had a good many. It wouldn't seem to be a