

Princeton Seminary took a strong stand against it and to their surprise they found the President of the Seminary opposing them for their stand. And they found several of the faculty members who were not in agreement with them. But Princeton Seminary then had a standing throughout the country, had a position that made it something that the modernists just had to overcome before they could carry out what they desired. I went to Princeton Seminary as a student in 1924 and when I got ~~into~~ Princeton I found a group of professors who presented the evidences of the truth and the dependability of God's Word in wonderful ways. I was thrilled by their teaching but I found that perhaps a third of the students at the seminary then came from colleges where the Word of God was denied and went to the seminary just in order to get a veneer, to get a ministerial standing, to get a nice church where they could preach. Some of those fellows, the writings on the - the pictures on the walls of their rooms - I'd be ashamed to be seen. Some of them, the things they did on the side on the weekends were just shameful. That was maybe a third of the student body. There was maybe a third who'd been attracted by the great defenders of the faith there and Princeton had taken on an evangelical atmosphere far beyond what it had for years because of the way Dr. J. M. [redacted], Dr. Robert Ingalls (? - 4.), and these others had attracted a great group of us to go and study under them. And so we had a clash there within the seminary at that time between ~~these two different~~ attitudes which were in the student body. But there were those outside who were anxious to destroy the witness of Faith Seminary (??Princeton??). I remember in a magazine called "The World's Work" there was a series of articles on the modernist-fundamentalist controversy. And there was a man who was very obviously a modernist who traveled around the country trying to get evidence to write up a story of the modernist-fundamentalist controversy and in the course of his write-up he referred to the Moody Bible Institute maybe a dozen times in his various articles, but every time he referred to Moody Bible Institute he put in parenthesis "entrance requirement, common school education or its equivalent". Now why should you put that in once, why should you put it in a dozen times. Very clearly, he was trying to give the impression that fundamentalist don't know anything, they have no standards, they have no scholarship.