seek me. AxxBand Has Paul simply misinterpreted the Hebrew? Has he quoted it in a way that did not correspond at all to the original? Well, the fact of the has freely that Paul gives is just about identical with k what we find in the Septuagint. Well, now has the Septuagint mislead Paul? The fact that the Seput-Septuagingt translates it this way shows that Jewish translators , pershaps two x centuries before the time of Christ, certainly long before they had any idea of what would occur in connection wit the calling of the Gentiles, translated this substantially the way that Paul took it. Why then aik does the Revised Standard Version translate it this way in Isa.? Is it an attempt to make Paul out to be an misinterpreter of the Old Testament? xxx Let's give the men credit for honesty. Let's say that they could not understand. They could not imagine that anyone in the time of Isaiah could have any connection of such a thing as the God of Israel turning away from Israel and turning to the Gentiles, and therefore it must be translated in some way which will make it refer to Israel. And so as it refers to Iszrakel, let's-say, they say, I was ready to be sought. I was ready to be found. We should, however, of course, recognize that this is by no means original with the Revised Standard Version. We find this interpretation given in X Skinner's Commentary. In the Cambridage Bible, issued in 1890, * 1898, we find that John Skinner, professor of Old Testament Exeges is in the Presbyterian College in London, x in his-disuc- discussion of this verse, makes the statement xrender, I x was to be inquired of by those who asked not. I was to be found by those that sought me not. He says the first ke verb in each line xis the form of Niphal which is to be understood, not as the simple passive, but in its tolerative sense. I let myself be inquired of, and so on. That is, I kwas ready to answer exactly as Ezekiel 14:3;20:3, and 31, 36, and 37. I let myself be fourth- k found as Isa. 55:6. Jehovah's readiness to hear is contrasted k with the people's unwillingness . Now, this is the way of interpreting it so as to get rid of any idea of its predicting the calling of the Gentiles and have it be a description of Israel, but is a it a fair way to render it from a viewpoing of Hebrew philology. We noticed that he it is the says/hiphal which is to be taken in its tolerative sense. Well, we turn to