West Chester

21

those cases the evidence as to the time is very very uncertain, and it would be pretty hard to tell just when it was.....end of C 4

C 5

AAM: There may be variation if they actually were an earlier stage from which we developed. Houton...... $1\frac{1}{4}$would have been the same as ours. It might have been different. Question what would be your opinton of evolution? (?) AAM: Well, I would say back in the days when nobody lived we have nothing to go by but circumstantial evidence. You take what you find and you try to reconstruct it. And here's a very interesting thing. The order in which your evolutionary ... 1 3/4... say that things came into existence, is the order in which Genesis gives in the creation, it is the same order. Now how would Genesis hit that same order, that they've worked up. I would say that God revealed to man the order in which things came into existence, and that people have discovered in geology the order in which tex they came into existence, but now to say that one of them developed from the other one, that's the place where you make a logical jump $\dots 2^{\frac{1}{4}}$You assume that. There is no proof of it. Well, now the Bible says that God created them to reproduce after their kind. How big is a kind? Well, we anthing like that. There is not evidence that anybody has ever had for anyhting like that. There is a change in us over a period of years. There are large parents and little tiny children, and there are changes in development which take place, but there seem to be definite groups of kind. That's what the Bible says, that there is definite kind. Well, now, kne very interesting thing on that is that as the evolutionary theory began, it was begun about 100 years ago, by some men who had at least a desire, somewhere in their mind to get away from the idea that God had made us according to a plan. Now I don't say that was in all the people's minds But that was in someone's mond..... 3..... And it was said, You don't need a God that created the universe, because you have a debelopment from natural process from the simple to the complex. Well, now, it is very interesting that you take the very simplest life we have on this earth, and it is the amoeba, or one-celled createres, smaller or lless complex even than the amoeba. That is the simplest life we have, and the suggestion is made that from that very simple life, just by natural process