know in the medical school I could say anything I wanted, and they'd all write it down intheir notes as true, because I said it. Now he says, I am in the graduate school of medicine, where they have been out practicing medicine for years, and have come back to take graduate work, and he says, anything I say, they say, what is you proof of that. How do you know that's wight. He says, anything I say there they take for granted isn't right, until I've proved to them that it is. Well, it is necessary in early stages in any kind of education, to take something here as a fact, definitely proven, we take them and we accept them, but it is unfortunate when a book like this mixes in so much of theory and fantacy and imagination, in with the facts and gives us a viewpoint and an approach to the problem # which is entirely contrary to the41 and Christian views upon which our nation has been founded. It's alright in a graduate school where a person can take up these things and study them and compare them. This man can't study all/ these fields and know this material on the Hebrews and on the Babylonians, and on the Egyptians. Now I have just specialized in that smaller area, in the material of the Babylonians, and the Egyptians, and of the Hebrews which I have read in their actual original writings, in their copies of what they actually wrote on the tablets, and as you look at that you see how the is given is entirely different from this picture that hegives you here in this history of civilization. Now it is very interesting that he tells in here that the Bible was not written at first by Moses, but it came together, with alot of writing and comparing and redacting and putting it all together. There were different writers, J, B, D, and P. times they are called schools of writers. There is the whole complicated theory of the higher criticism, developed about 100 years ga ago, and was very widespread and taught in many schools, and about 1900 most scholars held, this was the truth of how these things same together. Today those who hold the theory have a hundred different interpretations, becuase between 1900 and now evidence from archeology has come to light, and as that material has beendug up from the ground, which had trk thrown light on this, and everywhere that we can test the statements of the Bible, we find that they stand the test, and where the statements of the criticism differ from the statements of the Bible, if we can test them with archeological evidence, we find that they don't stand the test, and so the result is