Perhaps you could call a postmillennialist an "unwarranted optomist." The teria may need furtner qualification as $I$ would think the term "future perfectionist" does since a premillennialist could be said to hold to a future, perfect condition of things when Christ reigns. in person over the earth.

Since the phrase "coming of Christ" or "return of the Lord" can embrace both the aspect of the rapture and the aspect of the revelation lais needs to be carefullydefined when dealing with the narrover issue of pre or post trib rapture. If it is difficult for us at this point in time, to distinguish clearly between passages dealing the the first aspect. of His second coming and passages dealing with the second aspect of His second coming; thinkhow much more difficult this becomes when the two aspects occur practically sinultaneously as tney do in the post-trib view.

Would-i, be correct to say that the beginning of the Second Coming event is unnarked by premonitory signs, but the interim between this beginning and its climax when Christ returns to earth in power and great glory is full of events whion may be regarded as premonitory signs of this unveiling

Are these signs, could you say, of such a general (ratlier than specific) faturéthà when Christ sayg "when these things begin to cone to pass, look up and lift up your heads; because your redemption draweth nigh", (Lk. 21:28 cf. Mat.24:33) He means that.. when you see such things begin (say after the first century or en earlier) then look up. This would imply that there never was a time since the first centüry when these signs were inappropraite as reminders to believers to be watching.

When Peter asks. (Lk.12:41), "Lord speakest thou this parable unto us or even unto all?" is he referring to the attitude thiat believers through succeeding generations are to have (as you suggest), or does the "unto all" refer to everyone believer or unbeliever, disciple or non-disciple?

