of Isaiah that 40 to 66 is alflaid in the That is a general assumption. But when you get here to 56:9, you will find in this passage here a tone thit is so similar to earlier parts of the book that I consider it far from certain that these passeges were given at the late time after 40 to 56.
(Guestion) It appears to me most likely that we have structure beginning at 56:9, a passage of rebuke followed by a passage of blessing, then again rebuke to the mss of the people including the codly but with special attention to the ungodly, rebuking the nation as a whole for its $\sin$, then attention paid to the godess mationatim specifically, then the ungodly, the nation as a wo?e incluaing the ungodly and godly but emphasis on the sin fxamx in the early part of 59 . Then 16 on up to 63 is a continuation of this same treme. So my inclination world be to think that 59 is at the same approximate time as 57 and 58 . Now that is pure conjecture, but the same evidence which would suggest the same attitude that 57 and $5^{\circ}$ were early in his ministry might siggest it. Now, of course, they might not be. They might he in the time of Menassah, but at least ke is looking to the nation as a whole and is not simply looking to the godly.
(C,estion) res, it would fit Menassah's time or Aher's time. My only reason for not thinking of it probably in Menassah's time is that the general attituae at this time woula appear simply to the godly as if the others are hopeless and he is turning attention aws from them for the xamex time being. But it might be

(Question--Mr. Jerome) Personally I consider the arrangement as very definitely inspired. But I think that right at that point it is necessary for the moment to stop and think what wemean by inspiration. Some people think of inspiration es meaning that if it says that there were 42 children killed by the bears instead of 43 or 41 ther $i$ s a very definite reason that 42 conveys a meaning for it. Now I would say that if seys 4 ? it means 42. The Lord might have apecial meaning for the number but there is no rason to assume that he does rave. It may be that there
were just 42 as we ere told. If, however, it said 40 instead of 42 , I would say

