#35 8-28-79 page 6

most of the members of our faculty, but they didn't see much point in continuing under those conditions. So eventually my son was ordained under this presbytery and he usually comes to its meetings.

There is another church came into it, but they are scattered with one in Washington, one in Cape May(N. Cape May) and one in Villanova here. They meet 3 or 4 times a year. I was hoping they would find some other group to join with. But the difficulty is that you get into this attitude of constantly, Nobody else is right except us. It's very hard to join with anybody else.

Take two nations like Canada and the U.S. so similar in every way, and yet there is this strong nationalistic feeling. Canada for the Canadians! This land must belong to these 20 million people. It just seems there is no possibility of getting these countries together. Except by one conquering. I don't know of hardly any case where two countries have peacefully joined, unless it was like England and Scotland after they fought for many centuries then the English invited the king of Scotland to become king of England and Scotland was the smaller power and they were very proud to have thier king the king of the whole thing, and thus the two got united!

But if you don'thave a king you can't use that method. In Switzerland, Switerland is perhaps the most unique case in the world of an having people of vary diverse people united into one country. There you have 60% German speaking and I guess 25% French speaking. And about 10% Italian speaking and about %5% Ladenish(?) speaking. Each has its own area. I've gone from the German part of Switzerland, gone 5 miles and been in the French speaking part and nobody would know a word of German!

It's just that little distance, and yet they are one country standing together. But it was brought about because they were under attack all around. It was necessary in order to preserve their independence to get together, and now in the course of centuries they have achieved a greatunity. But for Canada and the U.S. to be a two distinct countries is utterly absurd.

Did I mention to you about what I read about that law that was passed in Canada about 3 years ago about no magazine could be printed in Canada by a corporation unless 75% of the corporation was owned by Canadians. It seems to me that I read that Reader's Digest formed a corporation in Canada in which they sold 75% of the stock to Canadians to sell the Reader's Digest in Canada. But according to what I read, Time magazine agreed to do that. They had bureaus in different parts of Canada where they were collecting news, etc. I guess they had a Canadian issue just as they have an issue for this part of the country. They have maybe 6 for different & parts of the U.S. and they had one for Canada. They said they would form a Canadian corporation which would be 75% owned by Canadians. Then the administration of this man who was Prime Minister till recently told them in addition they would have to have 80% of the content of their magazine different from any magazine published outside of Canada.