

It was supposed to be post-reformation church history. But he came in and started right from the very beginning. He skimmed over the first part by giving principles he thought were vital. I remember one of the seniors said to me one day, I don't care if he never gets to the Reformation; it's so fascinating!

I think he also taught a course in NT Survey. He would give a masterful lecture on it one time, and then the next time he would come and just waste time kidding with fellows. Very undisciplined. Very spasmodic. When he applied himself he really was good. His church history pleased the students greatly. He taught it for a couple of years. That was our faculty. You see it doesn't compare with the faculty we have here.

The Lord blessed. We had some very outstanding students that year. I just got a letter a couple days ago that came to the office from a fellow who F. Schaeffer persuaded to leave Biblical Seminary in NY where he'd a year and a half, and to leave there in the middle of the year and come to us. So he was with us for the second semester of his middler year, our first year. Then he was with us the next year, so the second year we had 3 graduating instead of two. I had not heard of him for years. Yesterday the office got a letter just last week. He is now dean in some college in the south.

He wrote that he'd been looking over our material and he was quite convinced we were more like the seminary he'd graduated from than either Faith or Covenant was. Which I thought interesting. His name was James Lipscombe. So we had 2 graduating instead of 2 the second year. The third yr. we had 15 graduating which was really a good class.

The Lord really blessed those first years. I think I really worked too hard that first year. Too many hrs. of teaching; too many decisions to make, different problems, etc. The next summer I was absolutely exhausted and I was still pretty tired the second year. But we carried on.