an experience and then he mentioned one point and he said, Well, I wish I had time to go further into this but I just -- there just isn't timenow. I said, Why don't you come back next week and give it to us? He said, Fine, I'll be glad to!

Incidentally, there was Dr. Springer from Denver who was a great force against the modernists and worldliness among various Baptist groups. He was a great evangelist. A unique character in various ways. Some did not like him -- some things about him, but a lot of things I think were excellent.

He was holding a series of evangelistic services in McIntire's church. McIntire phoned me and asked if i"d like to have him speak in chapel. See he practically never interferred with me during those years until the last 6 months. He asked if I'd like to have him. I said, Yes. If he would come omer one day and speak about his evangelistic work; then he'd get all the students with him. Then he could speak to us about his conflicts with the Baptist machine, and they will all be with him!

Well, he said, I will ask him, but he's a peetty **Sp**rong minded fellow and I'm not sure he'll take **ik** advice! Springer came over and started in: I've been told to speak about evangelism today and leave matters of controversy until the second time. Which I didn't think was a very wise way to approach it. But he gave a marvellous talk about his evangelistic work and experiences, and hed all the students 100% with him. The next time he told about how the Baptist machine had tried to make him into a money raiser for them, instead of one whose central idea was the gospel.

How they had become irritated by it and he'd devoted alot of his lift to fighting them, etc. He had them all right with him. It impressed me that in advancing the Lord's work a certain amount of tactful planning is wise and right.

loved d

In the later years McIntire go to where if he'd give a gospel message, an exposition of scripture nobody could do better. It was wonderful. But he always had to talk about these issues! In commencement, toward the end of the commencement he would speak about these issues. I never said anything to him about it, but I did not think it was the best time to speak about that, because in the commencement we always had a lot of relatives of students who knew nothing about it. Many of them had no connection with churches hardly.

It was a marvellous opportunity to reach those folks for the Lord, but when you started talking to them about the WCC and modernism, they don't know what you are talking about. They don't have the background. They were not the group to present that \underline{x} to. But he would always talk abit about that. Which I think is good in the right place, but I did not think it was wise right there.

This recent attack he made on me in the Beacon--he knows better. Those things he critisized me for he would agree with me 100% on them. He certainly has sense to understand matters of textual criticism and its importance. He knows better.