

extremes on the doctrines of grace so that you think it doesn't matter in the least what you say or what you do, God is going to save whom He will! Well, that affects your attitude today. So tho in general belief I would be nearer to the Westminster folks than to the Dallas folks, I feel that the point of divergence is more important because it affects present attitudes.

Regarding premillennialism I always felt that was what was taught in Scripture and the more I've studied Scripture the more firmly I'm convinced of it. But as I say, What God intends to do in the future he'll do. There is no reason we should make divisions over it, But they are the ones who make divisions. They make this constant attack on premil and I've never been able to understand why they should feel that it's such a tremendously important thing to be attacking pre-millennialism or dispensationalism as they often call premillennialism. I don't see why they should and I came to the conviction some years ago--I'm not sure it's the correct conviction, but it certainly is at least a possibility -- that Satan ^{worse than} hating/anything else the doctrine of the atonement of Christ of course, that next to that, perhaps, he hates the Scriptural prediction that on this very earth where he as prince of this world today, that on this very earth where he led Adam to fall, that on this earth there's going to be a period when he'll have absolutely no power. It may be it is Satan who moves people to show this violent hatred toward premillennialism. But the idea that I would not teach in a school that would not stress premil was quite false. I became more and more convinced that the way they get rid of premillennialism out of the Scripture, if they would use the same methods of interpretation, they would make the resurrection of Christ merely the great principle of the permanence of personality or something like that. Like the modernists do. So I say, Thank God