

had resigned from the IBFPPM, and they asked me to step into the office. During that summer I was ~~be~~ very busy in the IBFPPM office and had comparatively little to do with general publicity. Dr. McIntire handled that through his paper.

I should mention a little bit about premillennialism. My position always was after all God will do what He chooses in the future. It's nothing for Christians to divide over. I believe the Scripture teaches premillennialism but let somebody else believe differently. There's no reason why we can't work together for the things of the Lord. But when I found those people were having such a constant attack against it and such --- I can't understand now why Christian people should hate premillennialism so much! and should attack it so.

I must say as to the difference between the Dallas viewpoint and their extreme viewpoint, I would say that on the basic points of belief I probably am much nearer to them than I am to the Dallas folks. Many of the Dallas folks truly hold the sovereignty of God; they hold the great reformed doctrines, but they don't stress them like they do there. But the things they criticize the Dallas folks so much for are they seem to teach a different method of salvation and a different dispensation. They believe as I believe the people of God are one through all the ages and that the plan of salvation was always the same. Of course if a person thinks people were under a different system there is a ~~true~~ tendency not to give the OT the importance it should have. But the Dallas people go to extremes on typology. I think to some extent that is a true criticism but not altogether true. I think it's too bad to have a wrong idea of how people were saved in the OT, but I don't see how that affects us an awful lot. But if a person goes