2-19-79

XX

who had just graduated the year before as my assistant. teach Hebrew. But the year before that Edwin Young had graduated, and he had gone to Germany on a fellowship on the Frank Stevenson fellowship and studied for a year. They insisted we must get Young as my assistant for that year. I pointed out to them evidences that Harris was a much finer brain and much more solid fellow in many ways than Young, and I think the faculty pretty much agreed with me. They said, It may be we made a mistake in giving the fellowship to Young, but the fact is we did give it and he's been in Germany a year, and having been there for a year that gives him a certain prestige and our alumni will be much better satisfied tox have Young as the Assistant in Hebrew rather than a fellow who has just graduated. from seminary. So in order to kind of concole me for it, they made Harris assistant librarian or something like that, I forget exact details.

Young was a very fine fellow, and a good linguist, fine $f_{\mu\nu\nu\nu}$ at learning languages. He had come a very orthodox premil church in San Francisco. He'd had Hebrew in Stanford before he came and he came to the seminary and was a strong premil and then he got Dr. Allis's teaching and on the basis of Acts 15 he changed to become a strong amil. But when you read his commentary on Isaiah, he practically takes a premil position and he says this is not the millennium because this is endless and the millennium ends after 1000 years. The only thing! But when he studied the text on that he admitted it, tho in most cases in his commentary on Daniel and in Isaiah if anybody whom they would call a dispensationalist said anything that was enough for him to cast it out as being worthless. He was a very nice fellow, but I think **x** Harris was a much brighter fellow. Anyway Harris in his latter year he said he could not see postmillennialism, he was coming more and more to be an amil.

9