

To me it seems like a thing I don't like to waste time on. Then as to the matter of infant baptism, I believe a very good argument can be made for the parallel with circumcision and for indicating the fact that we do not bring little children into the world as little heathen that we hope someday ~~we~~ will hear an evangelist and come to the Lord, but we have a responsibility. And we don't have to be always shaking, Are these children going to be lost? Is there any possibility they will be saved? We can put our trust in the Lord that if we fairly do our part, we try to teach them and pray with them and tell them about the things of the Lord, the Lord in His own time will bring them to himself.

Someone has said, the question may be raised whether the Scripture teaches baptism of children, but there certainly is no scriptural basis for dedication of children! But the fact that most Baptist churches introduce a dedication of children, shows that the feeling of the need to recognize the Lord's care over our children and his promises to Christian parents and as to what form we're to use I think it's a shame to have big divisions over it. That's my attitude so I keep out of it all I can.

Then Dr. Vantil. I think it is a part of the tendency that is so found everywhere to take what men have said, human ideas and develop them by inferences and philosophical speculation rather than by going to Scripture and carefully studying it to find what it teaches. I think this general tendency he has intelligently carried forward into the steps where you adopt the system full blown rather than go to Scripture and carefully try to figure it out. Of course he would not admit that. That's the way it impresses me. He has done a good job of taking a certain viewpoint and crystalizing it. A great deal of his teaching is spent trying