

a point at the dining club, which did not include the married students, just the people who ate in the dining club, they agreed to a committee composed of him and two others to draw up a statement and it was given to the Press and quoted in several magazines as the students statement. In that they flatly contradicted just about everything I said.

For instance they said, Dr. MacRae has said the faculty members at Westminster drink intoxicating liquors. We can say with absolutely certainty that no member of our faculty would do anything which his conscience lead led him to believe was false! was wrong. It sounded as if they contradicted me and actually they did not contradict me at all. It was a cleverly worded statement. There were two or three religious magazines that printed it. MacRae's statement. The Student's statement. When you read it you would think I was completely wrong.

There was one fellow named Deplor who had been at Westminster a year or two -- from Canada and then had been out a couple of years and then he was back that last year. He wrote me a letter in which he said the change in the student body was just impossible for him to recognize. He said there was such a departure from the great stress on the great things of the Gospel, and such an attitude on little minor points. It was quite a letter. I gave the letter to Carl McIntire. I said I think this letter would be worth printing in the Beacon to show that there is one student who agrees with me thoroughly. He said, They will think we are just knocking them all the time; we don't want them to think that. He was very loyal for a long time to them. They destroyed his loyalty by their actions. He would not print that letter. The result was that people were reading in Christianity Today, which then was a magazine run by