

I followed his apologetics view or whether I might be more like Warfield in the evidential attitude, etc. Right after that I was speaking to Dr. Machen privately and I said to him, Is it a bar to a person becoming a full professor here to not agree with Dr. VanTils' apologetics? Machen said, When it comes to apologetics any differences between VanTil and Warfield I stand 100% with Warfield. That was private conversation with me. You could not prove that. But that was his attitude which would agree with mine but it did not enter into his field. He was presenting evidential apologetics in his NT courses all the time. He gave courses in exegesis which were good solid exegesis, but this attitude which had showed itself in the student criticism of Machen which I don't the faculty participated in but which I think was the effect of their teachings and attitudes the previous year, that disappeared altogether the last year, when they actually started a new church, the Presbyterian Church of America. Now the Presbyterian Church in America is a different organization altogether, of course. They started that church and took it over and Dr. Machen supported them against criticism of it especially by Dr. Buswell.

I came across some letters the other day of Dr. Buswell's at the time. The letters and answers from him and from Kuiper and Paul Wooley and different ones which were quite interesting. Also some pictures. The General Assembly took the action in 1936 by which the actions of the various presbyteries in convicting the members of the IBPFM for being disloyal, they were dropped from the ministry, and the action was appealed. The General Assembly acted on it. When that was done, Dr. Barnhouse who had stayed quite aloof from us in all this, he now --he was away speaking somewhere-- and he read in the papers about what the Assembly was doing. He