## XVI

## page 4

giving that attitude that you must presuppose things instead of proving them. That was very harmful. Then the General Assembly passed this action that it was just as important to contribute to the Boards of the church as to attend communion. Then, they issued a mandate against the IBFPFM. They took it down to the Presbyteries and put the different members on trial. When the thing was coming to a head I remember one time being with Dr. Machen and Charlie Woodbridge and Paul Wooley and H. McGallister Griffiths-- they were sort of his three lieutenants. Woodbridge has the IBFPFM; Wooley was Registrar at the Seminary; and Griffiths had the paper. They talked abouthow if the Assembly passes this mandage, that is a attack on directly on the Lord Jesus Christ and the church becomes apostate. The church becomes officially apostate, what can you do but to step out. Somebody said, Suppose they don't pass it; suppose they make a little improvement in the IBFPFM. Don't pass it. And Dr. Machen was getting a little tired of the whole ruckus and he said, I hope it won't come to that; I want to get out and build a real church. I believe that if they had taken 1/4of the people in the Presby. Church U.S.A., of the 2 million, if they had taken even 1/20 or 100,000, I think they could have. I really do. But the minute they started, immediately these folks were determined, Now we've got to have a really right EMEMEX church. It's got to be right right down the line. They began saying, You've got to use fermented liquor for the communion; it's not wine if you are using grapejoice.