2-1-79

of that type. He was not alone. There was Robt. Dick Wilson who had quite a reputation in OT. At the seminary they used to claim he was the greatest semetic scholar in the world! I was greatly shocked when I got to Germany to find people did not know who I was talking about. I had the impression there that everybody would know who he was. Dr. Wilson had been taken by the same group that gave the money to issue the Fundamentalist gave money for missionary work in China. They had Dr. Wilson go there and speak to these different groups of missionaries all over China. He was very strongly against the higher criticism. In fact as a young man he'd seen how the higher criticism was undermining faith and he decided to give his life to trying to compat the higher criticism.

Did I mention before how he laid out his life in 3 parts? The Lord enabled him to finish the 3 parts. Unfortunately he was not a clear writer like Machen. There is agreat deal of value in his writings, but they do not have wide recognition. His little pampheit "Is the Higher Cirticism Scholarly"? Was reprinted several times. I was quite surprised to find 3 or 4 years ago that it was still being sold by the Orthodox Presbyterian Church publication society down here, the particular edition of it that had my introduction to it they were still selling there.

He died after the first year of Westminster. Machen continued there for 7 years before hid death. He died when he was only 50. He continued during those years. He was mixed up in ecclesiastical struggles which like in this Literary Digest article popularized his name, made him known, and people would point to him as a real scholar who was standing for the Gospel. So he had a great dea --and then, 6 yes, he was definitely not a separatist originally. When people first talked about Fundamentalist he did not like it.

page 3

хv