

was constantly knocking premillennialism. And Dr. Allis in his courses in OT (one man told me) would take as much as 3/4 of the year discussing Amos 9. Three-fourths of the course which was 5 hours a week for one semester discussing Amos 9 and how the NT says the tabernacle of David which is fallen down he will raise up and that is the church and it shows how he raises everything to a higher level and in that way he gets rid of everything about the kingdom. So they were attacking premillennialism constantly, in their classes but never publicly! Publicly people were being told, of course you should support Westminster which stands for the Bible; we don't take any stand about the millennium! Why, look we've got Dr. Wolley and Dr. MacRae -- we were both Mr. Wooley and Mr. MacRae then-- who are both premillennialists.

Somebody told me -- Wooley always called himself a premillennialist and I suppose still does -- but they said in his courses in church history, he'd mention great premillennialists and never even mention they were premillennialists! They said, It never came out in his teaching whatever. I don't know. That's what one student told me. I was teaching Hebrew-- beginning Hebrew and Advanced Hebrew, archaeology, and it did not enter my teaching. I'd say at least 3/4 of our students were premillennialists when they came, and when they left 1/3 were violently against premillennialism; 1/3 still called themselves premillennialists but were very shakey and did not know anything about it. I did not like to be used as a front for something that was the opposite of what I believed, even though I didn't think premillennialism was the important issue. R.D. Wilson used to say, I'm not a premillennialist, I'm not a postmillennialist, I'm not an amillennialist, I'm a millennialist! I don't know what he really meant by that, but