

among the students by Dr. Kupper, and others. It was interesting. We had on our faculty Ned Stonehouse and VanTil and Kuiper, all of whom came from Christian Reformed backgrounds, which of course was a very Dutch church as you know. The attitude of the three was most comical because whenever we'd get in a faculty meeting and they would say, Who shall we have for speaker at Baccaulaureate? Who shall we have to be professor of such and such? And always Stonehouse would have a Christian Reformed man== a Dutchman. And Stonehouse would say, If you'd get so and so, he'd be perfectly wonderful! None of the rest of us had ever heard of the man. And Dr. Machen would say, VanTill what do you think? VanTill would say, If you are going to go outside of Presbyterian circles, probably he'd be about as good as you could do! He'd probably be a good man."

Then he(Machen) would say, Dr. Kuiper, What do you think? Kuiper would say, If he didn't make such awful faces and have such terrible grudges against everybody else, he'd be alright! He always had a slam on everybody == everyone of them! And/Stonehouse, every one from that background was just perfect! It was funny!

Then the matter of premillennialism came up.

Neher: Did Dr. Machen come down on one side or the other?

AAM: Dr. Machen who emphasis and stress and attitude was defend the Scriptures, defend the Gospel, stand for the truth and cooperate with anybody who will up to a certain point. He would not cooperate in seminary training with H.P.Sloan for instance. We've got to train people who believe the Calvinistic doctrines. That he believed. But his fight was on the things that were under attack at that time. (Don't let me forget to say more about Machen-- the next to his last year.)

Another thing, of course, was premillennialism. As time went on, I realized that Stonehouse in his ~~xxxx~~ classes in NT