

characteristic of the Fuller faculty. The attitude of most of the evangelical schools today -- the attitude toward graduate work is we take up a question now let's see what this man says, and what this man says, etc. and here are these authorities on this side and here are these authorities on the other side, and many people think the more footnotes you have the more scholarly the work is.

My idea is that true scholarship is getting all the evidence and carefully studying it and drawing reasonable conclusions. I think what we are trying to inculcate is an attitude of true scholarship but I don't see that a lot of footnotes and references to what a lot of second-rate people have said is of such great importance. That's a general discussion of that question. Unless you have something further on it.

Now you say about the Montrose Bible Conference, they say it was Dr. Torrey's wish that personalities not be discussed. I am inclined to question that very seriously. I think you have to ask the question two ways. I think Dr. ~~Torrey~~ Torrey would feel that Christians like most people have a tendency of discussing minor points about other people, and gossiping about personalities which is harmful and if such a thing as that got started he would certainly try to put a stop to it. But I do not believe Dr. Torrey would hesitate about naming names and pointing out particular problems if it was a matter of someone's loyalty to the truth. I know that in the Montrose Bible Conference 30 or 40 years ago, they had two or three upheavals there. There were various views held. Of course I suppose everybody would want to quote Dr. Torrey if they could. If the idea was we should not say anything critical of anybody else, why I recall a story I heard once, I don't know whether it's true or not, but I heard thatd === I know that Dr. Dwight L. Moody